



AZ CI OOOO

Τ.	Robert L. Pickels, Jr, Attorney I.D. #0	021574	DOCKET CONTINOS.
2	Sedona City Attorney's Office		10: 21
2	rpickels@sedonaaz.gov	Arizona Corporation Commission	2017 APR 20 A 10: 21
3	102 Roadrunner Drive	DOCKETED	
827	Sedona, Arizona 86336		
4	Phone: (928) 204-7200	APR 2 0 2017	
5	Fax: (928) 204-7188	ZU1/	
5	Attorneys for City of Sedona	DOCKETED BY	
6		_66	
7	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
1			
8	COMMISSIONERS		
9			
9	DOUG LITTLE, Chairman		
10	BOB STUMP		
58000	BOB BURNS		
11	ANDY TOBIN		
12	TOM FORESE		
		WW 905 DV 907000 P	
13	DI THE MATTER OF THE ARRIVE	A CONTRACT	E-01345A-16-0036
1.4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	ATION	E-01345A-16-0123
14	COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO	ý	
15	DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF	ETHE)	E EIL INC OE
.,	UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COM	,	F FILING OF ONDENCE
16	FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO		
17	JUST AND REASONABLE RATE O	1	
	RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE	Millione recognise of the	
18	SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVE		
19	SUCH A RETURN	}	
10	Distribution Transfer Charles (Charles Charles Transfer T)	
20)	
21			
21			5 <u>16 51 52523315</u> 60
22	The City of Sedona, through u	ndersigned counsel, hereb	by gives notice of filing the
23		nafanan and Daulasta	
23	attached correspondence to the above-	referenced Dockets.	
24			

Notice of Filing - 1

Dated this 17th of April, 2017

Robert L. Pickels, Jr.
Sedona City Attorney's Office
102 Roadrunner Drive
Sedona, Arizona 86336
Attorneys for City of Sedona
rpickels@sedonaaz.gov

Notice of Filing - 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	Vertical Marie Vertical Control of Control Con	
2		g
3	ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN COPIES Of the foregoing mailed this 17th day	,
4	of April, 2017 to:	
5	Docket Control	
6	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington	
7	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
8	COPIES of the foregoing mailed/emailed this 17th of April, 2017 to:	_ day
	Thomas Jernigan	T. Hogan
9	Federal Executive Agencies	ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW IN THE
10	U.S. Airforce Utility Law Field Support	PUBLIC INTEREST
	Center	514 W. Roosevelt Street
11	139 Barnes Dr., Ste. 1	Phoenix, Arizona 85003
12	Tyndall AFB Florida 32403	thogan@aclpi.org
	Thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil	ken.wilson@westernresources.org
13	Ebony.payton.crt@us.af.mil Andrew.unsicker@us.af.mil	schlegelj@aol.com ezuckerman@swenergy.org
14	Lanny.zieman.1@us.af.mil	bbaatz@aceee.org
	Natalie.cepak.2@us.af.mil	briana@votesolar.org
15	1 vacarie coparizate de la companya	cosuala@earthjustice.org
16	Kurt Boehm	dbedner@earthjustice.org
5752	BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY	cfitzgerrell@earthjustice.org
17	36 E. Seventh St., Suite 1510	
18	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	Michael Patten
	Codmiss CL (RAD) 86 C (RAD) 26	SNELL & WILMER, LLP
19	Nicholas J. Enoch	One Arizona Center
20	LUBIN & ENOCH, PC	400 East Van Buren Street
	349 N. Fourth Ave.	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85003	mpatten@swlaw.com jhoward@swlaw.com
22	Richard Gayer	docket@swlaw.com
	523 W. Wilshire Dr.	BCarroll@tep.com
23	Phoenix, Arizona 85003	
24	rgayer@cox.net	Albert H. Acken
(27%)		One N. Central Ave., Ste. 1200
25	Cynthia Zwick	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
26	ARIZONA COMMUNITY ACTION	aacken@rcalaw.com
· · · · · ·	ASSOCIATION	ssweeney@rcalaw.com
27	2700 N. Third St. – 3040	slofland@rcalaw.com
28	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	jjw@krsaline.com
100075	czwick@azcaa.org	
	khengehold@azcaa.org	

+	Jay I. Moyes	Giancarlo Estrada
2	MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS, LTD	KAMPER ESTRADA, LLP
	1850 N. Central Ave. – 1100	3030 N. 3 rd Street, Suite 770
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
4	jasonmoyes@law-msh.com	gestrada@law.phx.com
4	jimoyes@law-msh.com	kfox@kfwlaw.com
5	jim@harcuvar.com	kcrandall@eq-research.com
6	Thomas A. Loquvam	Meghan H. Grabel
7	PINNACLE WEST CAPITOL	OSBORN MALADON, PA
· ·	CORPORATION	2929 N. Central Ave., Suite 2100
8	400 N. 5 th St., MS 8695	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	mgrabel@omlaw.com
9	Thomas.loquavam@pinnaclewest.com	gyaquinto@arizonaic.org
10	Thomas.mumaw@pinnaclewest.com	Scott S. Wakefield
58650	Melissa.krueger@pinnaclewest.com Amanda.ho@pinnaclewest.com	HIENTON & CURRY, PLLC
11	Debra.orr@aps.com	5045 n. 12 TH Street, Suite 110
12	prefo@swlaw.com	Phoenix, Arizona 85014-3302
27/2750	picio(a)swiaw.com	swakefield@hclawgroup.com
13	Daniel Pozefsky	mlougee@hclawgroup.com
14	RUCO	Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com
14	1110 West Washington, Suite 220	Greg.tillman@wal-mart.com
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Chris.hendrix@wal-mart.com
	1	
16	Greg Patterson	Patrick J. Black
17	MUNGER CHADWICK	FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC
	916 W. Adams Suite 3	2394 E. Camelback Rd., Ste 600
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
19		pblack@fclaw.com
	Anthony Wanger	khiggins@energystrat.com
20	IO DATA CENTERS, LLC	
21	615 N. 48 th Street	John William Moore, Jr.
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85008	7321 North 16 th Street
22		Phoenix, Arizona 85020
	L. Robertson, Jr.	
23	210 Continental Road, Suite 216A	Tom Harris
24	Green Valley, Arizona 85622	ARIZONA SOLAR ENERGY
		INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION
25		2122 W. Lone Cactus Dr., Suite 2
26		Phoenix, Arizona 85027
20		Tom.harris@ariSEIA.org
27	ıl	

1	Craig A. Marks	Charles Wesselhoft
	CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC	Pima County Attorney's Office
2	10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676	32 North Stone Avenue, Suite 2100
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85028	Tucson, Arizona 85701
	Craig.marks@azbar.org	Charles.wesselhoft@pcao.pima.gov
4	Pat.quinn47474@gmail.com	
_		Warren Woodward
5	Court S. Rich	200 Sierra Road
6	ROSE LAW GROUP, PC	Sedona, Arizona 86336
	7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300	W6345789@yahoo.com
7	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251	
8	crich@roselawgroup.com	Ann-Marie Anderson
٥	hslaughter@roselawgroup.com	WRIGHT WELKER & PAUOLE,
9	cledford@mcdonaldcarano.com	PLC
2000		10429 South 51 st Street, Suite 285
10	Greg Eisert	Phoenix, Arizona 85044
11	SUN CITY HOME OWNERS	aanderson@wwpfirm.com
	ASSOCIATION	sjennings@aarp.org
12	10401 W. Coggins Drive	aallen@wwpfirm.com
	Sun City, Arizona 85351	john@johncoffman.net
13	gregeisert@gmail.com	
14	steven.puck@cox.net	Thomas E. Steward
	2000 PDS 100 S	GRANITE CREEK POWER &
15	Albert E. Gervenack	GAS/GRANITE CREEK FARMS
16	SUN CITY WEST PROPERTY OWNERS &	5316 East Voltaire Avenue
10	RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254-3643
17	13815 Camino Del Sol	tom@gcfaz.com
1	Sun City West, Arizona 85375	5 1 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
18	Al.gervenack@porascw.org	Dennis M. Fitzgibbons
19	Rob.robbins@porasew.org	FITZGIBBONS LAW OFFICES, PLC
	Bob.miller@porascw.org	PO Box 11208
20	Datricia C. Forma	Casa Grande, Arizona 85230
21	Patricia C. Ferre PO Box 433	denis@fitzgibbonslaw.com
		Anthony Wanger
22	Payson, Arizona 85547 pferreact@mac.com	IO DATA CENTERS, LLC
	pierreactia/mac.com	615 N. 48 th Street
23	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.	Phoenix, Arizona 85008
24	210 Continental Road, Suite 216A	Thochix, Arizona 65006
20040	Green Valley, Arizona 85622	Garry D. Hays
25	tubaclawyer@aol.com	LAW OFFICES OF GARRY D.
26	THE STATE OF THE S	HAYS, PC
20		2198 E. Camelback Road, Suite 305
27		Phoenix, Arizona 85016
		ghays@lawgdh.com
28		Barrie Barrie Am

1	Andy Kvesic
2	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2	Director-Legal Division
3	1200 W. Washington
.	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	legaldiv@azcc.gov
5	utildivservicebyemail@azcc.gov
	mscott@azcc.gov
6	chains@azcc.gov
7	wvancleve@azcc.gov
'	tford@azcc.gov
8	evanepps@azcc.gov
103	cfitzsimmons@azcc.gov
9	kchristine@azcc.gov
10	eabinah@azcc.gov
11	Timothy J. Sabo
12	SNELL & WILMER, LLP
	One Arizona Center
13	400 E. Van Buren, 19 th Floor
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
¹⁴	tsabo@swlaw.com
15	jhoward@swlaw.com
	Docket@swlaw.com
16	pwalker@conservingamerica.org
17	la Vati
	By: 7) ((1)
18	
19	



102 Roadrunner Drive Sedona, Arizona 86336 www.SedonaAZ.gov

April 12, 2017

Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Docket Nos. E-01345A-16-0036; E-01345A-16-0123

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is intended to clarify various positions of the City of Sedona (City) in regard to the above-referenced Dockets (hereinafter referred to as the "APS Rate Case" or "Rate Case"). As you probably know, the City is an intervenor in the Rate Case. In the City's role as an intervenor, the City actively participated in the settlement discussions coordinated by Commission staff and APS. Due to logistical challenges in obtaining public input before making a formal decision on whether or not to support the eventual settlement reached by the parties ("Settlement Agreement"), the City took no action in that regard. However, the City believes that it is important for our position on several key issues to be addressed. Accordingly, we will attempt to outline those issues herein.

Automated Metering Infrastructure

The first issue which we would like to address is the Automated Metering Infrastructure (hereinafter referred to as "AMI" or "smart meter") opt-out program. Although the terms of the Settlement Agreement allow for the opt-out of residential customers, such opt-out requires the payment of a conversion fee for existing AMI customers and a monthly meter reading fee for all opt-out customers. Further, the opt-out provisions are limited to residential customers and specifically exclude commercial and rooftop solar customers.

Although APS has indicated that business turnover creates an administrative burden and that prohibiting commercial customers from opting out of smart meters would reduce that burden, the City believes that commercial customers may have the same concerns that residential customers have and the right of both customer classes to opt-out ought to be preserved.

In regard to solar customers, APS has indicated that prohibiting solar customers from opting out helps APS manage the overall energy generation system. However, it appears to the City as though the system is reasonably managed currently while solar customers are allowed to opt-out. APS customers who generate solar energy and opt out of smart meters constitute a very small portion of the overall APS customer base. Hence, the significance of

¹ The monthly meter reading fee was the subject of negotiation during the settlement discussions.

identifying this class of customer for differential treatment appears to have little, if any, operational impact to APS and the ability of solar customers to opt-out should be preserved.

II. Monthly Meter Reading Fees

The City's position upon filing its Application for Intervention in this Rate Case was that customers choosing to opt-out of the smart meter program should not have to incur any fees for simply maintaining their analog or digital meter as it currently exists, or for removing a smart meter and replacing it with a non-standard meter. There was, and continues to be, strong opposition within the community toward the requirement for any fees to be assessed on customers simply for choosing to opt-out of smart meters.

Entering the recent settlement discussions, APS initially requested a \$15/month opt-out fee, even though the Commission had previously ruled that only a \$5 opt-out fee was justified, in Decision #74871. Leading up to that decision, the City had argued that no opt-out fee was justified. Given the evidence presented to the Commission about AMI meters prior to that decision and a comparison of the costs associated with maintaining and replacing both AMI and non-AMI meters, it remains the City's current position that, while a \$5 opt-out fee is less of a burden on APS customers and more desirable than a \$15 opt-out fee, no opt-out fee should be charged.

III. Analog Meters

The City understands that the APS application and proposed AMI opt-out program would limit customers choosing to opt-out of smart meters to having analog meters replaced with digital meters. As justification for this limitation, APS has indicated that analog meters are inaccurate, are inefficient to maintain, and will eventually fail altogether. Despite those assertions, there has been no evidence provided in support of the claim that all analog meters need to be replaced immediately.

Because there is a lack of reasonable cause for existing analog meters to be replaced, customers with analog meters should be allowed to keep those meters for the remainder of the useful life of that meter or until actual failure of the analog meter occurs.

In closing, the City appreciates its ability to have played a meaningful role in the Rate Case process. We believe very strongly that the collective participation by those within our community choosing to express themselves should be considered not only by the City Council, but by the parties to the Rate Case and the Commission itself. Only through a constructive consideration of all factors and viewpoints can the Commission be expected to arrive at a well informed decision that is of mutual benefit to both the utility and the customers it serves.

Sincerely,

Study Morearty Sandra J. Monarty

Mayor

City of Sedona